Applicant : Hugh R. Sharkey et al. Attorney's Docket No.: 22416-014006 / 25-31-0099

Serial No.: 10/712,006

Piled : November 14, 2003 : 9 of 9

Page

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT.

In response to the restriction requirement mailed May 16, 2007, applicants have amended claim 6, which the Examiner has agreed obviates the invention restriction requirement. In further response to the restriction requirement, applicants elect Species I ("delivering a controlled amount of energy such that no vaporization occurs in the disc"), claims 1-10, 13-17, 20-27, and 30-31 being readable thereon. Applicants acknowledge, and agree with, the Examiner's designation of claims I-9, 13-16, 20-26, and 30 as generic. Claims 11, 12, 18, 19, 28, 29, 32, and 33 are withdrawn. The election of species is made without traverse.

Please apply any charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

<u>luidal Ry. No. 35 524</u>

Date: 14 700 J

Reg. No. 43,881

PTO Customer No.: 26166 Fish & Richardson P.C. 1425 K Street, N.W. 11th Floor Washington, DC 20005-3500 Telephone: (202) 783-5070 Facsimile: (202) 783-2331

40418674.doc

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for confirming, via a telephone voicemail left for applicants' representative on June 7, 2007, that the amendment to claim 6 obviates the invention restriction requirement